

# EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

MISSISSIPPI STATE CONFERENCE OF THE  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE  
ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE; DR.  
ANDREA WESLEY; DR. JOSEPH WESLEY;  
ROBERT EVANS; GARY FREDERICKS;  
PAMELA HAMNER; BARBARA FINN; OTHO  
BARNES; SHIRLINDA ROBERTSON; SANDRA  
SMITH; DEBORAH HULITT; RODESTA  
TUMBLIN; DR. KIA JONES; MARCELEAN  
ARRINGTON; VICTORIA ROBERTSON,

*Plaintiffs,*

vs.

STATE BOARD OF ELECTION  
COMMISSIONERS; TATE REEVES, *in his official  
capacity as Governor of Mississippi*; LYNN FITCH,  
*in her official capacity as Attorney General of  
Mississippi*; MICHAEL WATSON, *in his official  
capacity as Secretary of State of Mississippi*,

*Defendants,*

AND

MISSISSIPPI REPUBLICAN EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE,

*Intervenor-Defendant.*

**CIVIL ACTION NO.  
3:22-cv-734-DPJ-HSO-LHS**

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM S. COOPER REGARDING THE SBEC PLAN**

WILLIAM S. COOPER, acting in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B), and Federal Rules of Evidence 702 and 703, does hereby declare and say:

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. I filed a declaration in this lawsuit on March 14, 2025. The attorneys for the plaintiffs have asked me to prepare tables and accompanying exhibits comparing population statistics and redistricting metrics for the North Delta area under the remedial plan submitted by Defendants to the Court on April 22, 2025 (“SBEC Plan”). For comparison, I also include similar metrics and statistics for Plaintiffs’ Plan A and Plaintiffs’ Plan B presented in my March 14, 2025 Declaration and the Exhibits thereto, which I incorporate here by reference.

## **II. SBEC PLAN**


2. As shown in the **Figure 1** map (on the next page), under the SBEC Plan, new Black-majority SD 2 and adjacent Black-majority SD 11 are identified as modified districts (red boxes) compared to the 2022 Enacted Plan.

3. SBEC Plan District 2, along the Tennessee line with its population base in Horn Lake and Southaven, is located in the same general area as trial-stage Illustrative District 2 and Black-majority District 11 under the 2025 Legislative Plan and Plaintiffs’ Plans A and B. However, unlike in those other plans, SBEC Plan District 2 includes a White incumbent, Sen. David Parker in Southaven.

4. SBEC Plan Black-majority District 11 draws significant population from the rural North Delta, including Coahoma, Quitman, and Tunica Counties. It is located in the same general area as Black-majority District 1 under the 2025 Legislative Plan and Plaintiffs’ Plans A and B.

However, unlike 2025 Legislative Plan District 1, which extended from the North Delta into Hernando in the southern portion of DeSoto County, SBEC Plan District 11 extends from the North Delta, through Tunica County, and into central and northern DeSoto County, where it picks up suburban precincts in Horn Lake and Southaven.

**Figure 1: SBEC Plan**



5. In addition to SD 2 and SD 11, the boundaries for SBEC Plan Districts 1 and 19 are modified, as compared to the 2022 Enacted Plan.

6. The table in **Figure 2** breaks out population statistics, according to the 2020 Census, for the same six districts in and around DeSoto County examined in my population

analysis in my March 14 report—the four districts that change under the SBEC Plan from the 2022 Enacted Plan, plus SD 10 (modified in the 2025 Legislative Plan and Plaintiffs’ Plans A and B but not modified under the SBEC Plan) and SD 12 (modified around Clarksdale under Plaintiffs’ Plans A and B). **Exhibit A** is a Maptitude-generated document that reports population statistics for all 52 Senate districts under the SBEC Plan.

**Figure 2: SBEC Plan – North Delta Demographics by District**

District	Population	Deviation	% Deviation	18+ Pop	% 18+ AP Black	% 18+ Latino	% 18+ NH White
1	55633	-1315	-2.31%	41344	14.90%	3.39%	77.40%
2	55062	-1886	-3.31%	40139	50.10%	5.45%	41.23%
10	57208	260	0.46%	44981	29.28%	3.13%	65.62%
11	55403	-1545	-2.71%	41667	53.49%	3.38%	40.73%
12	54639	-2309	-4.05%	42004	68.51%	1.55%	28.68%
19	58078	1130	1.98%	43764	27.54%	3.99%	63.74%

7. Maps depicting all 52 districts by plan (with accompanying statistics accessible via a tab above the map) can be viewed on the *Dave’s Redistricting Application* at the links below.<sup>1</sup>

**SBEC Plan**

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/3d92c8e8-d9e8-45c4-9e42-9e19de275293>

**2025 Legislative Plan**

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/465e94b2-6677-4c16-93fd-4cf648282843>

**Plaintiffs’ Plan A:**

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/9aa1854b-a5af-4e0a-ae1c-f2d81908f3d2>

---

<sup>1</sup> For reference, the 2022 Enacted Plan can also be viewed on DRA at the link below.  
<https://davesredistricting.org/join/72917be8-dc92-4faa-95cd-0c686d5ab1ea>

**Plaintiffs' Plan B**

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/e38c0acb-2717-4cc5-a765-b983f5970b55>

8. The table in **Figure 3** compares key redistricting metrics associated with traditional redistricting principles for the same six districts displayed in **Figure 2** (SDs 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, and 19) under the SBEC Plan with the 2025 Legislative Plan, side-by-side with Plaintiffs' Plans A and B. The Maptitude-generated reports in **Exhibit B-1** report these metrics by district for the relevant districts in the SBEC Plan. My March 14, 2025 report included exhibits containing similar data for the other comparator plans.

**Figure 3: Redistricting Metrics – SDs 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, and 19**

	<b>2025 SBEC Plan</b>	<b>2025 Legislative Plan</b>	<b>Plaintiffs' Senate Plan A</b>	<b>Plaintiffs' Senate Plan B</b>
Total Split Counties	8	8	8	8
Total County Splits (populated)	11	10	11	11
VTD Splits (populated)	15	32	11	13
Municipal Splits (populated)	23	26	21	22
Mean Reock Compactness*	.30	.28	.32	.33
Mean Polsby Popper Compactness*	.16	.17	.18	.23
DeSoto District BVAP (non-dilution)	50.1%	50.92%	50.15%	50.15%
North Delta District BVAP (non-dilution)	53.49%	52.46%	57.23%	57.14%

\* Higher is better

9. The table in **Figure 4** compares key redistricting metrics associated with traditional redistricting principles, comparing the two Black-majority districts reconfigured by the SBEC Plan with the comparator districts in the 2025 Legislative Plan as well as Plaintiffs' Plans A and

B. The Maptitude-generated reports in **Exhibit B-2** report these metrics by district for the relevant two Black-majority districts in the SBEC Plan. My March 14, 2025 report included exhibits containing similar data for the other comparator plans.

**Figure 4: Redistricting Metrics – North Delta Black-Majority Districts**

	<b>2025 SBEC Plan</b>	<b>2025 Legislative Plan</b>	<b>Plaintiffs’ Senate Plan A</b>	<b>Plaintiffs’ Senate Plan B</b>
Total Split Counties	4	4	5	4
Total County Splits (populated)	5	5	5	5
VTD Splits (populated)	14	29	8	11
Municipal Splits (populated)	15	11	0	9
Mean Reock Compactness*	.22	.25	.26	.31
Mean Polsby Popper Compactness*	.13	.13	.14	.15
DeSoto District BVAP (non-dilution)	50.1%	50.92%	50.15%	50.15%
North Delta District BVAP (non-dilution)	53.49%	52.46%	57.23%	57.06%

\* Higher is better

10. With respect to traditional redistricting principles, Plaintiffs’ Plan A and Plaintiffs’ Plan B are on par with or superior to the SBEC Plan.

11. Maptitude-generated reports showing the allocation of counties and communities by population and race for the SBEC Plan are included in **Exhibit C-1** and **Exhibit C-2**.

###

I reserve the right to continue to supplement my declaration in light of additional facts, testimony and/or materials that may come to light.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed on April 28, 2025

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "William S. Cooper", is written over a solid horizontal line.

WILLIAM S. COOPER



# COOPER EXHIBIT A

User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Plan

Plan Type: Senate

## Population Summary

Saturday, April 26, 2025

9:39 AM

District	Population	Deviation	% Devn.	[18+_Pop]	[NH18+_Wht]	[% NH18+_Wht]	[18+_AP_Blk]	[% 18+_AP_Blk]
28001	55,633	-1,315	-2.31%	41,344	32,001	77.4%	6,160	14.9%
28002	55,062	-1,886	-3.31%	40,139	16,551	41.23%	20,109	50.1%
28003	59,005	2,057	3.61%	45,381	31,159	68.66%	11,609	25.58%
28004	56,555	-393	-0.69%	43,590	35,132	80.6%	6,111	14.02%
28005	58,670	1,722	3.02%	45,880	40,641	88.58%	3,293	7.18%
28006	58,981	2,033	3.57%	45,316	34,463	76.05%	8,294	18.3%
28007	56,427	-521	-0.91%	43,252	24,312	56.21%	17,335	40.08%
28008	59,525	2,577	4.53%	46,202	30,410	65.82%	13,730	29.72%
28009	58,854	1,906	3.35%	47,932	33,966	70.86%	9,881	20.61%
28010	59,487	2,539	4.46%	46,778	28,765	61.49%	15,657	33.47%
28011	55,403	-1,545	-2.71%	41,667	16,972	40.73%	22,289	53.49%
28012	54,639	-2,309	-4.05%	42,004	12,048	28.68%	28,778	68.51%
28013	54,387	-2,561	-4.50%	42,273	13,025	30.81%	27,545	65.16%
28014	54,111	-2,837	-4.98%	41,915	24,756	59.06%	15,799	37.69%
28015	54,122	-2,826	-4.96%	44,109	30,118	68.28%	11,501	26.07%
28016	54,158	-2,790	-4.90%	42,060	14,194	33.75%	26,522	63.06%
28017	54,117	-2,831	-4.97%	42,630	27,893	65.43%	12,569	29.48%
28018	54,256	-2,692	-4.73%	40,382	24,115	59.72%	10,918	27.04%
28019	58,078	1,130	1.98%	43,764	27,895	63.74%	12,051	27.54%
28020	55,424	-1,524	-2.68%	42,478	32,688	76.95%	6,891	16.22%
28021	54,626	-2,322	-4.08%	41,243	13,628	33.04%	26,168	63.45%
28022	54,710	-2,238	-3.93%	41,218	14,363	34.85%	24,712	59.95%
28023	54,789	-2,159	-3.79%	42,488	22,660	53.33%	18,117	42.64%
28024	54,335	-2,613	-4.59%	40,822	13,267	32.5%	26,580	65.11%
28025	54,273	-2,675	-4.70%	41,790	28,231	67.55%	10,317	24.69%

**Population Summary**

2025\_SBEC\_Plan

<b>District</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Deviation</b>	<b>% Devn.</b>	<b>[18+_Pop]</b>	<b>[NH18+_Wht]</b>	<b>[% NH18+_Wht]</b>	<b>[18+_AP_Blkl]</b>	<b>[% 18+_AP_Blkl]</b>
28026	57,722	774	1.36%	44,813	12,185	27.19%	30,233	67.46%
28027	58,455	1,507	2.65%	46,066	13,500	29.31%	30,862	67%
28028	57,247	299	0.53%	43,618	5,943	13.63%	36,378	83.4%
28029	54,712	-2,236	-3.93%	43,378	18,364	42.33%	23,202	53.49%
28030	55,711	-1,237	-2.17%	43,139	28,258	65.5%	12,077	28%
28031	55,190	-1,758	-3.09%	40,899	24,239	59.27%	12,712	31.08%
28032	54,397	-2,551	-4.48%	41,037	12,698	30.94%	27,061	65.94%
28033	54,883	-2,065	-3.63%	42,632	28,997	68.02%	11,905	27.93%
28034	55,833	-1,115	-1.96%	42,118	17,397	41.31%	22,447	53.3%
28035	58,139	1,191	2.09%	44,759	25,744	57.52%	17,626	39.38%
28036	58,619	1,671	2.93%	44,613	34,369	77.04%	8,142	18.25%
28037	59,040	2,092	3.67%	46,589	16,218	34.81%	28,560	61.3%
28038	59,405	2,457	4.31%	46,096	16,603	36.02%	28,324	61.45%
28039	59,727	2,779	4.88%	45,749	31,346	68.52%	13,046	28.52%
28040	59,417	2,469	4.34%	45,874	36,284	79.09%	6,090	13.28%
28041	58,702	1,754	3.08%	44,206	32,201	72.84%	10,395	23.51%
28042	59,320	2,372	4.17%	44,908	38,398	85.5%	3,757	8.37%
28043	55,114	-1,834	-3.22%	42,216	30,632	72.56%	9,912	23.48%
28044	58,028	1,080	1.90%	44,223	35,084	79.33%	6,587	14.89%
28045	59,004	2,056	3.61%	46,911	19,165	40.85%	24,039	51.24%
28046	59,647	2,699	4.74%	45,969	38,229	83.16%	3,827	8.33%
28047	59,203	2,255	3.96%	45,110	36,198	80.24%	4,705	10.43%
28048	58,933	1,985	3.49%	45,511	27,740	60.95%	13,382	29.4%
28049	59,739	2,791	4.90%	46,247	27,731	59.96%	13,230	28.61%
28050	59,591	2,643	4.64%	45,272	27,463	60.66%	10,089	22.29%
28051	57,105	157	0.28%	44,683	29,089	65.1%	11,151	24.96%
28052	58,769	1,821	3.20%	44,306	28,123	63.47%	10,405	23.48%

Total Population: 2,961,279

Ideal District Population: 56,948

## Population Summary

2025\_SBEC\_Plan

### Summary Statistics:

Population Range:	54,111 to 59,739
Ratio Range:	0.10
Absolute Range:	-2,837 to 2,791
Absolute Overall Range:	5,628
Relative Range:	-4.98% to 4.90%
Relative Overall Range:	9.88%
Absolute Mean Deviation:	1,954.79
Relative Mean Deviation:	3.43%
Standard Deviation:	2,078.68

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-1a**

User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

Plan Type: Senate

## Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Friday, April 25, 2025

3:23 PM

### Split Counts

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district: Number of splits involving no population:

County	8	County	0
Voting District	17	Voting District	2

Number of times a subdivision is split into multiple districts:

County	11
Voting District	17

County	Voting District	District	Population
<i>Split Counties:</i>			
Bolivar MS		28012	5,982
Coahoma MS		28011	17,655
Coahoma MS		28012	3,735
DeSoto MS		28001	52,144
DeSoto MS		28002	49,916
DeSoto MS		28011	25,176
DeSoto MS		28019	58,078
Lafayette MS		28010	2,358
Marshall MS		28010	28,897
Tate MS		28001	3,489
Tate MS		28010	22,815
Tate MS		28011	1,760
Tunica MS		28002	5,146
Tunica MS		28011	4,636
Union MS		28010	5,417
<i>Split VTDs:</i>			
Coahoma MS	Clarksdale 5	28011	2,972
Coahoma MS	Clarksdale 5	28012	254
DeSoto MS	Elmore	28011	4,140
DeSoto MS	Elmore	28019	0
DeSoto MS	Greenbrook South	28002	869
DeSoto MS	Greenbrook South	28019	6,785
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Central	28002	1,018
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Central	28011	2,499
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Intermediate School	28002	2,676
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Intermediate School	28011	2,227
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake North	28002	4,047
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake North	28011	1,538
DeSoto MS	Lake Cormorant	28002	318
DeSoto MS	Lake Cormorant	28011	848
DeSoto MS	Mineral Wells	28002	2,613

**Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts**

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

<b>County</b>	<b>Voting District</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Population</b>
DeSoto MS	Mineral Wells	28019	3,193
DeSoto MS	Northwest Community College	28002	936
DeSoto MS	Northwest Community College	28011	6,661
DeSoto MS	Summershill	28002	2,276
DeSoto MS	Summershill	28019	2,092
DeSoto MS	Walls	28002	2,957
DeSoto MS	Walls	28011	3,074
Lafayette MS	Oxford 2	28010	141
Marshall MS	N. Holly Springs Dist. 2	28010	371
Tate MS	Strayhorn 1	28001	220
Tate MS	Strayhorn 1	28011	946
Tunica MS	New Sub	28002	837
Tunica MS	New Sub	28011	329
Tunica MS	Prichard	28002	0
Tunica MS	Prichard	28011	583
Tunica MS	Robinsonville	28002	1,939
Tunica MS	Robinsonville	28011	7

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-1b**



User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

Plan Type: Senate

## Communities of Interest (Condensed)

Friday, April 25, 2025

3:24 PM

**Whole City/Town : 289****City/Town Splits: 23****Zero Population City/Town Splits: 0**

District	City/Town	Population	% Pop	District	City/Town	Population	% Pop
Unassigned	Crenshaw	508	79.62%				
Unassigned	Crowder	251	43.80%				
Unassigned	Holly Springs	3,697	53.06%				
28001	Senatobia	11	0.13%				
28001	Hernando	17,025	99.34%				
28001	Southaven	9,120	16.69%				
28001	Olive Branch	1,756	4.42%				
28002	Walls	1,215	89.93%				
28002	Horn Lake	17,580	65.75%				
28002	Southaven	22,799	41.72%				
28002	Olive Branch	6,262	15.77%				
28010	Senatobia	8,343	99.87%				
28010	Holly Springs	3,271	46.94%				
28011	Walls	136	10.07%				
28011	Horn Lake	9,156	34.25%				
28011	Southaven	11,085	20.28%				
28011	Clarksdale	14,743	98.93%				
28011	Crenshaw	130	20.38%				
28011	Crowder	322	56.20%				
28012	Clarksdale	160	1.07%				
28019	Hernando	113	0.66%				
28019	Southaven	11,644	21.31%				
28019	Olive Branch	31,693	79.81%				

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-1c**

User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

Plan Type: Senate

## Measures of Compactness Report

Friday, April 25, 2025

3:23 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.16	0.09
Max	0.43	0.23
Mean	0.30	0.16
Std. Dev.	0.11	0.06
District	Reock	Polsby-Popper
28001	0.43	0.22
28002	0.16	0.11
28010	0.37	0.23
28011	0.28	0.14
28012	0.20	0.09
28019	0.36	0.18

## Measures of Compactness Report

---

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

### Measures of Compactness Summary

<b>Reock</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-2a**

User:

Plan Name: SBEC\_BVAP\_Analysis

Plan Type: Senate

## Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

Wednesday, April 23, 2025

4:23 PM

### Split Counts

Number of subdivisions split into more than one district: Number of splits involving no population:

County	4	County	0
Voting District	15	Voting District	1

Number of times a subdivision is split into multiple districts:

County	5
Voting District	15

County	Voting District	District	Population
<i>Split Counties:</i>			
Coahoma MS		28011	17,655
DeSoto MS		28002	49,916
DeSoto MS		28011	25,176
Tate MS		28011	1,760
Tunica MS		28002	5,146
Tunica MS		28011	4,636
<i>Split VTDs:</i>			
Coahoma MS	Clarksdale 5	28011	2,972
DeSoto MS	Elmore	28011	4,140
DeSoto MS	Greenbrook South	28002	869
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Central	28002	1,018
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Central	28011	2,499
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Intermediate School	28002	2,676
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake Intermediate School	28011	2,227
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake North	28002	4,047
DeSoto MS	Horn Lake North	28011	1,538
DeSoto MS	Lake Cormorant	28002	318
DeSoto MS	Lake Cormorant	28011	848
DeSoto MS	Mineral Wells	28002	2,613
DeSoto MS	Northwest Community College	28002	936
DeSoto MS	Northwest Community College	28011	6,661
DeSoto MS	Summershill	28002	2,276
DeSoto MS	Walls	28002	2,957
DeSoto MS	Walls	28011	3,074
Tate MS	Strayhorn 1	28011	946
Tunica MS	New Sub	28002	837
Tunica MS	New Sub	28011	329
Tunica MS	Prichard	28002	0
Tunica MS	Prichard	28011	583

## Political Subdivision Splits Between Districts

SBEC\_BVAP\_Analysis

County	Voting District	District	Population
Tunica MS	Robinsonville	28002	1,939
Tunica MS	Robinsonville	28011	7

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-2b**



User:

Plan Name: **SBEC\_BVAP\_Analysis**Plan Type: **Senate**

## Communities of Interest (Condensed)

Wednesday, April 23, 2025

4:24 PM

**Whole City/Town : 292****City/Town Splits: 15****Zero Population City/Town Splits: 0**

District	City/Town	Population	% Pop	District	City/Town	Population	% Pop
Unassigned	Southaven	20,764	38.00%				
Unassigned	Clarksdale	160	1.07%				
Unassigned	Crenshaw	508	79.62%				
Unassigned	Crowder	251	43.80%				
Unassigned	Olive Branch	33,449	84.23%				
28002	Walls	1,215	89.93%				
28002	Horn Lake	17,580	65.75%				
28002	Southaven	22,799	41.72%				
28002	Olive Branch	6,262	15.77%				
28011	Walls	136	10.07%				
28011	Horn Lake	9,156	34.25%				
28011	Southaven	11,085	20.28%				
28011	Clarksdale	14,743	98.93%				
28011	Crenshaw	130	20.38%				
28011	Crowder	322	56.20%				

# **COOPER EXHIBIT B-2c**

User:

Plan Name: SBEC\_BVAP\_Analysis

Plan Type: Senate

## Measures of Compactness Report

Wednesday, April 23, 2025

4:23 PM

	Reock	Polsby-Popper
Sum	N/A	N/A
Min	0.16	0.11
Max	0.28	0.14
Mean	0.22	0.13
Std. Dev.	0.08	0.02
<b>District</b>	<b>Reock</b>	<b>Polsby-Popper</b>
28002	0.16	0.11
28011	0.28	0.14

## Measures of Compactness Report

---

SBEC\_BVAP\_Analysis

### Measures of Compactness Summary

<b>Reock</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.
<b>Polsby-Popper</b>	The measure is always between 0 and 1, with 1 being the most compact.

# **COOPER EXHIBIT C-1**

User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

Plan Type: Senate

## Plan Components with Population Detail

Saturday, April 26, 2025

3:03 PM

	Total Population	NH_Wht	[Hispanic Origin]	AP_Black
<b>District 28001</b>				
<b>County: DeSoto MS</b>				
Total:	52,144	39,881	2,157	7,732
		76.48%	4.14%	14.83%
Voting Age	38,673	29,960	1,335	5,659
		77.47%	3.45%	14.63%
<b>County: Tate MS</b>				
Total:	3,489	2,616	128	670
		74.98%	3.67%	19.20%
Voting Age	2,671	2,041	66	501
		76.41%	2.47%	18.76%
<b>District 28001 Total</b>				
Total:	55,633	42,497	2,285	8,402
		76.39%	4.11%	15.10%
Voting Age	41,344	32,001	1,401	6,160
		77.40%	3.39%	14.90%
<b>District 28002</b>				
<b>County: DeSoto MS</b>				
Total:	49,916	19,594	3,424	25,220
		39.25%	6.86%	50.52%
Voting Age	36,535	16,044	2,130	17,101
		43.91%	5.83%	46.81%
<b>County: Tunica MS</b>				
Total:	5,146	559	72	4,475
		10.86%	1.40%	86.96%
Voting Age	3,604	507	57	3,008
		14.07%	1.58%	83.46%
<b>District 28002 Total</b>				
Total:	55,062	20,153	3,496	29,695
		36.60%	6.35%	53.93%
Voting Age	40,139	16,551	2,187	20,109
		41.23%	5.45%	50.10%
<b>District 28010</b>				
<b>County: Lafayette MS</b>				
Total:	2,358	1,243	61	982
		52.71%	2.59%	41.65%
Voting Age	1,855	971	36	793
		52.35%	1.94%	42.75%
<b>County: Marshall MS</b>				
Total:	28,897	15,335	1,499	11,546
		53.07%	5.19%	39.96%
Voting Age	23,071	12,736	950	8,953
		55.20%	4.12%	38.81%

## Plan Components with Population Detail

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

	Total Population	NH_Wht	[Hispanic Origin]	AP_Black
<b>District 28010</b>				
<b>County: Tate MS</b>				
Total:	22,815	14,004	616	7,757
		61.38%	2.70%	34.00%
Voting Age	17,763	11,326	397	5,701
		63.76%	2.23%	32.09%
<b>County: Union MS</b>				
Total:	5,417	4,913	94	315
		90.70%	1.74%	5.82%
Voting Age	4,089	3,732	65	210
		91.27%	1.59%	5.14%
<b>District 28010 Total</b>				
Total:	59,487	35,495	2,270	20,600
		59.67%	3.82%	34.63%
Voting Age	46,778	28,765	1,448	15,657
		61.49%	3.10%	33.47%
<b>District 28011</b>				
<b>County: Coahoma MS</b>				
Total:	17,655	2,891	238	14,414
		16.37%	1.35%	81.64%
Voting Age	12,971	2,477	160	10,239
		19.10%	1.23%	78.94%
<b>County: DeSoto MS</b>				
Total:	25,176	13,550	1,872	8,712
		53.82%	7.44%	34.60%
Voting Age	19,042	11,071	1,132	6,038
		58.14%	5.94%	31.71%
<b>County: Quitman MS</b>				
Total:	6,176	1,484	21	4,637
		24.03%	0.34%	75.08%
Voting Age	4,885	1,299	4	3,547
		26.59%	0.08%	72.61%
<b>County: Tate MS</b>				
Total:	1,760	1,399	61	255
		79.49%	3.47%	14.49%
Voting Age	1,278	1,050	31	164
		82.16%	2.43%	12.83%
<b>County: Tunica MS</b>				
Total:	4,636	1,294	119	3,190
		27.91%	2.57%	68.81%
Voting Age	3,491	1,075	80	2,301
		30.79%	2.29%	65.91%
<b>District 28011 Total</b>				
Total:	55,403	20,618	2,311	31,208
		37.21%	4.17%	56.33%
Voting Age	41,667	16,972	1,407	22,289
		40.73%	3.38%	53.49%
<b>District 28012</b>				

## Plan Components with Population Detail

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

	Total Population	NH_Wht	[Hispanic Origin]	AP_Bl
<b>District 28012</b>				
<b>County: Bolivar MS</b>				
Total:	5,982	1,792	212	3,952
		29.96%	3.54%	66.06%
Voting Age	4,783	1,530	169	3,047
		31.99%	3.53%	63.70%
<b>County: Coahoma MS</b>				
Total:	3,735	1,394	112	2,176
		37.32%	3.00%	58.26%
Voting Age	2,944	1,163	89	1,644
		39.50%	3.02%	55.84%
<b>County: Washington MS</b>				
Total:	44,922	11,180	584	32,601
		24.89%	1.30%	72.57%
Voting Age	34,277	9,355	393	24,087
		27.29%	1.15%	70.27%
<b>District 28012 Total</b>				
Total:	54,639	14,366	908	38,729
		26.29%	1.66%	70.88%
Voting Age	42,004	12,048	651	28,778
		28.68%	1.55%	68.51%
<b>District 28019</b>				
<b>County: DeSoto MS</b>				
Total:	58,078	35,441	2,708	17,104
		61.02%	4.66%	29.45%
Voting Age	43,764	27,895	1,748	12,051
		63.74%	3.99%	27.54%
<b>District 28019 Total</b>				
Total:	58,078	35,441	2,708	17,104
		61.02%	4.66%	29.45%
Voting Age	43,764	27,895	1,748	12,051
		63.74%	3.99%	27.54%



# **COOPER EXHIBIT C-2**

User:

Plan Name: 2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

Plan Type: Senate

**Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

Saturday, April 26, 2025

3:00 PM

City/Town	District	Population	%	NH_Wht	%	AP_BlK	%
Clarksdale	28011	14,743	98.9	2,124	98.6	12,310	99.0
Clarksdale	28012	160	1.1	31	1.4	120	1.0
Crenshaw	28011	130	20.4	2	1.5	127	25.6
Crenshaw	Unassigned	508	79.6	135	98.5	369	74.4
Crowder	28011	322	56.2	191	98.5	124	33.6
Crowder	Unassigned	251	43.8	3	1.6	245	66.4
Hernando	28001	17,025	99.3	13,115	99.4	2,168	99.4
Hernando	28019	113	0.7	78	0.6	14	0.6
Holly Springs	28010	3,271	46.9	760	63.7	2,438	43.3
Holly Springs	Unassigned	3,697	53.1	433	36.3	3,198	56.7
Horn Lake	28002	17,580	65.8	5,651	56.0	10,124	73.7
Horn Lake	28011	9,156	34.3	4,447	44.0	3,622	26.4
North Tunica	28002	485	63.8	2	6.3	482	68.2
North Tunica	28011	275	36.2	30	93.8	225	31.8
Olive Branch	28001	1,756	4.4	1,012	4.7	595	4.1
Olive Branch	28002	6,262	15.8	2,657	12.3	3,170	21.8
Olive Branch	28019	31,693	79.8	17,969	83.0	10,748	74.1
Pleasant Hill	28001	505	27.1	426	27.2	23	20.2
Pleasant Hill	28019	1,358	72.9	1,143	72.9	91	79.8
Senatobia	28001	11	0.1	7	0.2	4	0.1
Senatobia	28010	8,343	99.9	4,460	99.8	3,504	99.9

**Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

City/Town	District	Population	%	NH_Wht	%	AP_Bl	%
Southaven	28001	9,120	16.7	5,857	20.1	2,296	11.8
Southaven	28002	22,799	41.7	10,190	34.9	10,165	52.3
Southaven	28011	11,085	20.3	5,834	20.0	3,912	20.1
Southaven	28019	11,644	21.3	7,283	25.0	3,060	15.8
Strayhorn	28001	230	81.0	216	85.7	8	44.4
Strayhorn	28011	54	19.0	36	14.3	10	55.6
Walls	28002	1,215	89.9	330	79.3	795	96.0
Walls	28011	136	10.1	86	20.7	33	4.0

**Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

City/Town	-- Listed by District					
	Population	%	NH_Wht	%	AP_Bl	%
Crenshaw (part)	508	79.6	135	98.5	369	74.4
Crowder (part)	251	43.8	3	1.6	245	66.4
Holly Springs (part)	3,697	53.1	433	36.3	3,198	56.7
<b>District Unassigned Totals</b>	<b>1,407,117</b>		<b>647,811</b>		<b>644,984</b>	
Hernando (part)	17,025	99.3	13,115	99.4	2,168	99.4
Olive Branch (part)	1,756	4.4	1,012	4.7	595	4.1
Pleasant Hill (part)	505	27.1	426	27.2	23	20.2
Senatobia (part)	11	0.1	7	0.2	4	0.1
Southaven (part)	9,120	16.7	5,857	20.1	2,296	11.8
Strayhorn (part)	230	81.0	216	85.7	8	44.4
<b>District 28001 Totals</b>	<b>29,318</b>		<b>21,211</b>		<b>5,162</b>	
Horn Lake (part)	17,580	65.8	5,651	56.0	10,124	73.7
North Tunica (part)	485	63.8	2	6.3	482	68.2
Olive Branch (part)	6,262	15.8	2,657	12.3	3,170	21.8
Southaven (part)	22,799	41.7	10,190	34.9	10,165	52.3
Walls (part)	1,215	89.9	330	79.3	795	96.0
<b>District 28002 Totals</b>	<b>50,772</b>		<b>19,321</b>		<b>26,401</b>	
Holly Springs (part)	3,271	46.9	760	63.7	2,438	43.3
<b>District 28010 Totals</b>	<b>17,327</b>		<b>8,243</b>		<b>8,260</b>	

**Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)**

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

	Population	%	NH_Wht	%	AP_Bl	%
Clarksdale (part)	14,743	98.9	2,124	98.6	12,310	99.0
Crenshaw (part)	130	20.4	2	1.5	127	25.6
Crowder (part)	322	56.2	191	98.5	124	33.6
Horn Lake (part)	9,156	34.3	4,447	44.0	3,622	26.4
North Tunica (part)	275	36.2	30	93.8	225	31.8
Southaven (part)	11,085	20.3	5,834	20.0	3,912	20.1
Strayhorn (part)	54	19.0	36	14.3	10	55.6
Walls (part)	136	10.1	86	20.7	33	4.0
<b>District 28011 Totals</b>	<b>44,954</b>		<b>15,636</b>		<b>26,229</b>	
Clarksdale (part)	160	1.1	31	1.4	120	1.0
<b>District 28012 Totals</b>	<b>42,858</b>		<b>7,083</b>		<b>34,941</b>	
Hernando (part)	113	0.7	78	0.6	14	0.6
Olive Branch (part)	31,693	79.8	17,969	83.0	10,748	74.1
Pleasant Hill (part)	1,358	72.9	1,143	72.9	91	79.8
Southaven (part)	11,644	21.3	7,283	25.0	3,060	15.8
<b>District 28019 Totals</b>	<b>47,089</b>		<b>28,513</b>		<b>14,019</b>	

## Communities of Interest (Landscape, 11x8.5)

---

2025\_SBEC\_Analysis\_

---

### Summary Statistics

Number of City/Town not split	413
Number of City/Town split	14
Number of City/Town split in 2	12
Number of City/Town split in 3	1
Number of City/Town split in 4	1
Total number of splits	31